arXiv:1510.00054v1 [math.GR] 30 Sep 2015

k-INVOLUTIONS OF $\mathrm{SL}(n,k)$ OVER FIELDS OF CHARACTERISTIC 2

NATHANIEL J. SCHWARTZ

Abstract. Symmetric k-varieties generalize Riemannian symmetric spaces to reductive groups defined over arbitrary fields. For most perfect fields, it is known that symmetric k-varieties are in one-to-one correspondence with isomorphy classes of k-involutions. Therefore, it is useful to have representatives of each isomorphy class in order to describe the k-varieties. Here we give matrix representatives for each isomorphy class of k-involutions of $\mathrm{SL}(n,k)$ in the case that k is any field of characteristic 2; we also describe fixed point groups of each type of involution.

1. Introduction

Let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over a field k, and let φ be an involution of G, H a k-open subgroup of the fixed-point group of φ, and let G_k (resp. H_k) be the set of k-rational points of G (resp. H). The homogeneous space G_k/H_k is called a symmetric k-variety. Symmetric k-varieties generalize the notion of Riemannian symmetric spaces of Lie groups defined over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ to reductive linear algebraic groups defined over arbitrary fields k which may be non-algebraically closed. Symmetric k-varieties are important in representation theory and they arise in a variety of other areas.

In 1994, Helminck described isomorphy classes of symmetric k-varieties in [5]. One of the significant results he states is that isomorphy classes of symmetric k-varieties are in one to one correspondence with isomorphy classes of k-involutions of the associated algebraic groups. In the same paper, Helminck also gave a characterization of isomorphism classes of k-involutions which uses the following three invariants:

(1) Classification of admissible (Γ,φ)-indices.
(2) Classification of the G_k-isomorphism classes of k-involutions of the k-anisotropic kernel of G.
(3) Classification of the G_k-isomorphism classes of k-inner elements of G.

Date: October 2, 2015.
The author thanks A. Helminck for his help and guidance.

In 1998, Ling Wu classified and characterized the equivalence classes of k-involutions of $\mathrm{SL}(n,k)$ for algebraically closed fields, $\mathfrak{p}$-adic fields, and finite fields of odd characteristic (see [9]). Here, we present a similar classification and characterization in the case that our field k is any field of characteristic 2.

Following is a brief overview of the contents of this paper. We begin by determining, up to isomorphism, involutions of $\mathrm{SL}(2,k)$. In this case every automorphisms is inner, given by conjugation by an element of $\mathrm{GL}(2,k)$, so we give explicit matrix representatives for each conjugacy class. We compute and discuss the structure of the fixed point groups. Next, we extend these methods to the case that $n \geq 2$ and determine matrix representatives for both inner and outer involutions. We conclude with a description of the fixed point groups of each representative involution and a description of the related symmetric k-varieties in each case.

There are several notable differences between the characteristic 2 case and results found by Wu. There are different types of involutions in the characteristic 2 case than otherwise, in both the inner and the outer case. Also, in characteristic 2, the matrix representatives of involutions are unipotent and are never semisimple, whereas the involutions in [9] are always semisimple.

This project was inspired by the work of Wu in [9] and [6] where he determined involutions of $\mathrm{SL}(n,k)$ for fields of characteristic not 2, as well as for the group $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1,k)$. This last was expanded on and improved by Benim and others in [3]. More recently, Benim, Helminck, and Ward published similar results for the groups $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,k)$ in [2].

2. Notation and Definitions

Unless otherwise specified, k always represents a field of characteristic 2, $\overline{k}$ is the algebraic closure of k, and K is some extension field of k; thus $k \subset K \subseteq \overline{k}$. We make the following conventions throughout: $G = \mathrm{SL}(n,\overline{k})$, $G_k = \mathrm{SL}(n,k)$, and $G_K = \mathrm{SL}(n,K)$.

For any $g \in G$, the map $\mathrm{Inn}_g(x) = gxg^{-1}$ is called an *inner automorphism* of G; additionally, some elements of $\mathrm{GL}(n,k)$ or $\mathrm{GL}(n,K)$ induce inner automorphisms of G. The set of inner automorphisms is denoted by $\mathrm{Inn}(G_k)$. An automorphism is called *outer* if it is not inner. Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(G_k)$ the inner automorphisms of G_k induced by elements of $\mathrm{GL}(n,k)$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(G,G_k)$ denotes the group of automorphisms in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ that fix G_k; these are called *k-automorphisms*. Similar notation applies to inner automorphisms. In general, an *involution* in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is an element of order 2. A k-involution of G_k is

an involution in $\mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$. At times we may simply use the term involution synonymously with k-involution.

Our goal is to determine all k-involutions in G_k. In general, there may exist both inner and outer automorphisms. For the inner case, the process is as follows. We first determine which automorphisms of G_K fix G_k point-wise. Separately, we determine which automorphisms of G_K fix G_k as a set. Finally, we combine this information to find which automorphisms have order two and fix G_k point-wise. For the outer case, every outer automorphism is the product of an inner automorphism and a fixed outer automorphism, which for convenience we take to be the duality automorphism, except when $n = 2$ and there are no outer automorphisms.

Definition 2.1. Let θ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$. If $\sigma\theta\sigma^{-1} = \varphi$ and

- $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$, then θ and φ are $\mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$-isomorphic.
- $\sigma \in \mathrm{Inn}(G, G_k)$, then θ and φ are $\mathrm{Inn}(G, G_k)$-isomorphic.

We want to determine which k-involutions are $\mathrm{Inn}(G, G_k)$-isomorphic, and we will exhibit a list of representative elements for each class. Before we proceed, we note the following fact about fields of characteristic 2.

Lemma 2.2 (J-P. Serre, [8])**.** Let $k = \mathbb{F}_{2^r}$. Let k^* denote the set of nonzero elements of k. Every element of k^* is a square. Hence $|k^*/(k^*)^2| = 1$.

3. k-involutions of SL$(2,k)$

In this section, we assume that $n = 2$, and we note the following consequence due to Borel (see Proposition 14.9 of [4]).

Lemma 3.1. If $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \overline{k})$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(G) = \mathrm{Inn}(G)$.

It follows that every $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$ is inner. Specifically, there is some $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2, K)$ such that $\varphi = \mathrm{Inn}_A|_{G_k}$. Notice that entries of A may be in some intermediate extension field K of k.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2, K)$. Then $\mathrm{Inn}_A|_{G_k} = \mathrm{Id}$ if and only if $A = p\,\mathrm{Id}$ for some $0 \neq p \in K$.

Proof. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ with $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $a_{ij} \in K$. Since $\mathrm{Inn}_A|_{G_k} = \mathrm{Id}$, then for all $X = (x_{ij}) \in G_k$, $\mathrm{Inn}_A(X) = AXA^{-1} = X$, so $A \in Z_{\mathrm{GL}(2,K)}(G_k)$. Direct computation shows that choosing $X_1 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ gives $a_{11} = a_{22}$ and $a_{12} = 0$ and choosing $X_2 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ gives $a_{21} = 0$.

The converse is clear. □

Lemma 3.3. Let $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2,K)$. Then $\mathrm{Inn}_A|_{G_k} \in \mathrm{Aut}(G_k)$ if and only if $A = pB$, for some $p \in K$ and $B \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$.

Proof. If Inn_A is invariant on G_k, then $\mathrm{Inn}_A(X) \in G_k$ for all $X \in G_k$. In particular, for the matrices X_{ij}

$$X_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad X_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad X_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad X_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and Y_i

$$Y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad Y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

the entries of $\mathrm{Inn}_A(X_{ij})$ and $\mathrm{Inn}_A(Y_i)$ are elements of k. The sum $\mathrm{Inn}_A(X_{ij}) + \mathrm{Inn}_A(Y_i)$ reveals that

$$\frac{a_{ij}a_{k\ell}}{a_{11}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{21}} \in k$$

for all i, j, k and ℓ. Fix i and j so that $a_{ij} \neq 0$, and set $r, s \in k$ as follows:

$$r = \frac{a_{ij}a_{k\ell}}{a_{11}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{21}} \qquad s = \left(\frac{a_{11}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{21}}{a_{ij}a_{ij}} \right).$$

Thus $rs = \frac{a_{k\ell}}{a_{ij}} \in k$ for all k and ℓ, and hence

$$A = a_{ij} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_{11}}{a_{ij}} & \frac{a_{12}}{a_{ij}} \\ \frac{a_{21}}{a_{ij}} & \frac{a_{22}}{a_{ij}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a_{ij} \in K$. That is, $A = pB$ with $B \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$ and $p \in K$. (In fact $B \in G_k$ if $a_{ij}^2 = 1$.)

The converse follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Inn}_{pB}(X) = pBX(pB)^{-1} = BXB^{-1}$, and $\det(BXB^{-1}) = \det(B)\det(X)\det(B^{-1}) = \det(X) = 1$. □

Corollary 3.4. Every automorphism of G_k is of the form Inn_A for $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$. Then φ is inner, $\varphi^2(X) = (\mathrm{Inn}_A)^2(X) = A^2X(A^2)^{-1}$, so by Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.4

$$\varphi^2 = (\mathrm{Inn}_A)^2 = \mathrm{Inn}_{A^2}$$

for some $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$. Since $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$, by Lemma 3.2, $A^2 = p\,\mathrm{Id}$. Since $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$, then $p \in k$. In other words, if φ is an involution, then $A^2 = p\,\mathrm{Id}$ with $0 \neq p \in k$.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$ is an involution. There exists some $0 \neq p \in k$ and a matrix $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$ such that $\varphi = \mathrm{Inn}_A|_{G_k}$, and A is conjugate to $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. Conversely, if $B \in \mathrm{GL}(2,K)$ is conjugate to $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, then $\mathrm{Inn}_B \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$.

Proof. Since φ is an involution, there exists some $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$ such that $(\mathrm{Inn}_A)^2 = \mathrm{Id}$. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix},$$

with $a, b, c, d \in k$. Then

$$A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 + bc & (a+d)b \\ (a+d)c & d^2 + bc \end{bmatrix}.$$

By remarks following Corollary 3.4, $A^2 = p\,\mathrm{Id}$ for some $p \in k$, so

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 + bc &= d^2 + bc \\ (a+d)c &= (a+d)b = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a^2 = d^2$ implies $(a+d)^2 = 0$, it follows that $a = d$, and also $bc \neq a^2$ since $a^2 + bc \neq 0$. Then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial is $C_A(x) = x^2 + a^2 + bc$. If the minimal polynomial is $M_A(x) = x + q$, for some $q \in k$, then $A = q\,\mathrm{Id}$ and Inn_A has order 1 and is not an involution. So $M_A(x) = C_A(x)$, and A is conjugate to the (normalized) matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $p = (bc + a^2)^{-1} \in k$.

To show the converse, let $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ with $p \in k$, and suppose $M \in GL(2,K)$ such that $B = M^{-1}AM$. Then $B^2 = M^{-1}A^2M$, and since $\mathrm{Inn}_M(X) \in G_k$ and Inn_A is a k-involution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Inn}_{B^2}(X) &= (M^{-1}A^2M)X(M^{-1}A^2M)^{-1} \\ &= M^{-1}\big(A^2(MXM^{-1})A^{-2}\big)M \\ &= M^{-1}\left[\mathrm{Inn}_A^2(MXM^{-1})\right]M \\ &= M^{-1}(MXM^{-1})M \\ &= X \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.6. Since the characteristic and minimal polynomials of $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ are different, A is never semisimple. However, A can be unipotent. In the case that $k = \mathbb{F}_2$, there are three involutions corresponding to the matrices $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ (which are all conjugate).

Corollary 3.7. Each isomorphism class of k-involutions of G_k has representative Inn_A, where $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$ is of the form

$$A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right].$$

Lemma 3.8. Let Inn_A and Inn_B be automorphisms in $\mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$. Then Inn_A and Inn_B are isomorphic if and only if there is a matrix $C \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$ and a constant $p \in k$ such that $CAC^{-1} = pB$.

Proof. By definition, Inn_A is isomorphic to Inn_B if and only if there is a matrix $C \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$ such that $\mathrm{Inn}_C \mathrm{Inn}_A \mathrm{Inn}_C^{-1} = \mathrm{Inn}_B$. Note that $\mathrm{Inn}_g \mathrm{Inn}_h = \mathrm{Inn}_{gh}$ in general, so for any $X \in G$,

$$CAC^{-1}XCA^{-1}C^{-1} = BXB^{-1}.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$(B^{-1}CAC^{-1})X(CA^{-1}C^{-1}B) = X.$$

In other words, $\mathrm{Inn}_{B^{-1}CAC^{-1}} = \mathrm{Id}$. By Lemma 3.2, $B^{-1}CAC^{-1} = p\,\mathrm{Id}$ for some $p \in k$. Thus

$$CAC^{-1} = pB \tag{1}$$

□

Denote by k^* the multiplicative subgroup of k and by $(k^*)^2$ the non-zero squares of k. We strengthen Lemma 3.8 as follows.

Theorem 3.9. If Inn_A and $\mathrm{Inn}_B \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$ are involutions corresponding to $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ r & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and $B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ s & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, then Inn_A is isomorphic to Inn_B if and only if $r/s \in (k^*)^2$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, Inn_A and Inn_B are isomorphic if and only if A is conjugate to pB where $p \in k$. Two matrices are conjugate if and only if both their characteristic and minimal polynomials are equal. In this case, $M_A(x) = C_A(x) = x^2 + r$ and $M_{pB}(x) = C_{pB}(x) = x^2 + p^2 s$. So A and B are conjugate if and only if $r = p^2 s$; in other words, exactly when $r/s \in (k^*)^2$. □

Corollary 3.10. The number of isomorphism classes of k-involutions of G_k equals the number of square classes of k^*.

The isomorphism classes of k-involutions of G_k depend on the elements in $(k^*)^2$. By Lemma 2.2, every non-zero element of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a square.

Corollary 3.11. Let k be a finite or algebraically closed field (of characteristic 2). There is one isomorphism class of k-involutions of G_k.

If k is any infinite, non-algebraically closed field, then there are infinitely many non-squares in k. For example, when k is the imperfect field $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$, for some indeterminate x, there are non-squares x, x^3+1, x^5+1, all of which are in different square classes.

Corollary 3.12. Let k be any infinite non-algebraically closed field. There are infinitely many isomorphism classes of k-involutions of G_k.

Having described the k-involutions of G_k, we continue our analysis and describe the fixed-point groups and symmetric k-varieties. The fixed point group

$$H^p = \{x \in G_k \mid \varphi(x) = x\}$$

of a k-involution of G_k plays an important role in determining the structure of the corresponding symmetric k-variety Q_k. For the involution Inn_A, where $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^p &= \left\{X \in G_k \mid \mathrm{Inn}_A(X) = X\right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ px_{12} & x_{11} \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, x_{11}^2 + px_{12}^2 = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.13. Let φ_1 and φ_2 be isomorphic k-involutions of G_k with fixed point groups H_1 and H_2, respectively. Then H_1 and H_2 are isomorphic.

Proof. Let $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$. If $\psi\varphi_1\psi^{-1} = \varphi_2$, then it suffices to show that $\psi(H_1) = H_2$. For $X_1 \in H_1$, $\psi(\varphi_1(X_1)) = \psi(X_1) = \varphi_2(\psi(X_1)) \in H_2$ since $\varphi_2(\psi(X_1)) = \psi(X_1)$. Hence $\psi : H_1 \to H_2$ is the required isomorphism. □

Let $Y \in H_k$ as above; then $C_Y(x) = (x+1)^2$, and Y is diagonalizable if and only if $Y = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. So whenever $|k| > 2$, H_k consists of non-semisimple elements. Moreover, we shall soon see these fixed point groups consist of unipotent elements.

Example 3.14. When k does not have characteristic 2 and $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, then Inn_A has fixed point group

$$H^p = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ py & x \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, x^2 - py^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

For $k = \mathbb{R}$, either $p = 1$ or $p = -1$. For $k = \mathbb{C}$, $p = 1$. Wu [9] showed that H^p is k-split if and only if p is a square in k^*, and otherwise H^p

is k-anisotropic. Thus H^p is k-anisotropic if $k = \mathbb{R}$, and H^p is non-compact if $k = \mathbb{C}$. In this case, the fixed point groups of k-involutions corresponding to semisimple matrices are reductive.

Theorem 3.15. If $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and k has one square class, then Inn_A is isomorphic to Inn_B where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, the fixed-point group H^p of Inn_A is isomorphic to a unipotent subgroup

$$H^p \cong \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, x \in k \right\},$$

hence every k-involution of G_k has a unipotent fixed-point subgroup.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{p} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{p} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{p} & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{p} \end{bmatrix},$$

so if $p \in (k^*)^2$, then Inn_A is isomorphic to Inn_B where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The fixed-point group of Inn_B is

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x + \sqrt{p}y & y \\ 0 & x + \sqrt{p}y \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, x \in k \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, x \in k \right\}.$$

□

Since every k-involution of G_k is isomorphic to conjugation by an element of the form $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, for some $p \in k$, the elements of $Q_k \cong G_k/H_k$ have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_k &= \left\{X\theta(X)^{-1} \mid X \in G\right\} \\
&= \left\{X\left[\mathrm{Inn}_A(X)\right]^{-1} \mid X \in G\right\} \\
&= \left\{X\left[AXA^{-1}\right]^{-1} \mid X \in G\right\} \\
&= \left\{XAX^{-1}A^{-1} \mid X \in G\right\} \\
&= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d & b \\ c & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{p} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \;\middle|\; ad+bc=1 \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} pb^2+a^2 & \frac{1}{p}(pbd+ac) \\ pbd+ac & \frac{1}{p}(pd^2+c^2) \end{bmatrix} \;\middle|\; ad+bc=1 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

The minimal polynomial of any element $X \in Q_k$ has the form

$$M_X(t) = t^2 + t\left(a^2+d^2+pb^2+\frac{c^2}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p}\left(pdb+ac\right)^2$$

which can be factored into distinct linear factors if and only if $a^2+d^2+pb^2+\frac{c^2}{p}=0$. That means that $X \in Q_k$ is semisimple exactly when $a^2+d^2+pb^2+\frac{c^2}{p} \neq 0$.

4. k-involutions of SL(n,k) for $n>2$

In this section, we build upon the results from the previous section. As before, let k be a field of characteristic 2, K an extension field of k, $G = \mathrm{SL}(n,\overline{k})$, $G_K = \mathrm{SL}(n,K)$, and $G_k = \mathrm{SL}(n,k)$.

Now that $n>2$, there are both inner and outer automorphisms of G_k, and we must deal with each case separately. As before, begin by determining which of the inner automorphisms fix G_k as a group and which fix G_k point-wise.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n,K)$. Then $\mathrm{Inn}_A|_{G_k} = \mathrm{Id}$ if and only if $A = p\,\mathrm{Id}$, for some $0 \neq p \in K$.

Proof. Following the same logic in the proof of Lemma 3.2, let $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n,K)$. By equating the (i,j) entries of AX and XA, we obtain the following equation:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}x_{kj} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} x_{i\ell}a_{\ell j}. \tag{2}$$

Let X be the matrix with 1 on the diagonal and in the (r,s) position, and 0 elsewhere. For $i=r$ and $j=s$,Eq. (2) becomes

$$a_{r,s} + a_{r,r} = a_{s,s} + a_{r,s},$$

and hence $a_{r,r} = a_{s,s}$. By varying r and s, it follows that $a_{i,i} = a_{j,j}$ for all i and j. For $i = t$ and $j = s$ ($t \neq r, s$) Eq. (2) becomes

$$a_{t,r} + a_{t,s} = a_{t,s},$$

and hence $a_{t,r} = 0$. By varying r, s, and t, it follows that $a_{i,j} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

As before, the converse is clear. □

Lemma 4.2. Let $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n, K)$. Then $\mathrm{Inn}_A|_{G_k} \in \mathrm{Aut}(G_k)$ if and only if $A = pB$, for some $p \in K$ and $B \in \mathrm{GL}(n, k)$.

Proof. Note that

$$\mathrm{Inn}_A(X) = AXA^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} AXD, \tag{3}$$

where D is the adjugate or adjoint matrix of A. That is, D is the transpose of the cofactor matrix of A. So the (i, j) entry of Eq. (3) is

$$\frac{1}{\det A} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} a_{i,m} x_{m,\ell} d_{j,\ell} \right), \tag{4}$$

an element of k. Setting $X = \mathrm{Id}$ yields

$$\frac{1}{\det A} \left(a_{i,1} d_{j,1} + \cdots + a_{i,n} d_{j,n} \right),$$

and setting $X = \mathrm{Id} + E_{pq}$ (for any $p \neq q$) yields

$$\frac{1}{\det A} \left(a_{i,1} d_{j,1} + \cdots + a_{i,n} d_{j,n} + a_{i,p} d_{q,j} \right).$$

The sum of these two expressions is

$$\frac{a_{i,p} d_{q,j}}{\det(A)} \in k. \tag{5}$$

Let X be the permutation matrix with rows p and q switched. Then Eq. (3) becomes

$$\frac{1}{\det(A)} \left(a_{i,q} d_{p,j} + a_{i,p} d_{q,j} + \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq p,q}}^{n} a_{i,\ell} d_{j,\ell} \right).$$

Finally, let X be as above with $x_{q,q} = 1$ instead of 0. Then Eq. (3) becomes

$$\frac{1}{\det(A)} \left(a_{i,q} d_{p,j} + (a_{i,p} + a_{i,q}) d_{q,j} + \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq p,q}}^{n} a_{i,\ell} d_{j,\ell} \right).$$

Adding these last two expressions yields

$$\frac{a_{i,q}d_{q,j}}{\det(A)} \in k. \tag{6}$$

By dividing Eq. (5) by Eq. (6) and re-labeling indices as needed, we have

$$\frac{\frac{a_{i,j}d_{m,\ell}}{\det(A)}}{\frac{a_{m,n}d_{m,\ell}}{\det(A)}} = \frac{a_{i,j}}{a_{m,n}} \in k$$

for any $m,n,i,j \in \{1,2,\dots n\}$, provided that $a_{m,n} \neq 0$. Now, by factoring $a_{m,n}$ from A, we get $A = a_{m,n}B = pB$ where $b_{i,j} = \frac{a_{i,j}}{a_{m,n}}$, and $\mathrm{Inn}_A = \mathrm{Inn}_B$. The converse is again clear. □

Corollary 4.3. Any inner automorphism of G_k can be written as conjugation by a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}(n,k)$.

We recall Lemma 3.8 whose proof holds in the present situation.

Lemma 4.4. Let Inn_A and Inn_B be automorphisms in $\mathrm{Aut}(G,G_k)$. Then Inn_A and Inn_B are isomorphic if and only if there is a matrix $C \in \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$ and a constant $p \in k$ such that $CAC^{-1} = pB$.

By Lemma 4.1, if $\mathrm{Inn}_A \in \mathrm{Aut}(G,G_K)$ fixes G_k point-wise, then $A = p\,\mathrm{Id}$ for some $p \in K$. By Lemma 4.2, we may take $p \in k$. Since $\mathrm{Inn}_A^2 = \mathrm{Inn}_{A^2}$, we must determine the restrictions on A such that $A^2 = p\,\mathrm{Id}$. Recall that an involution is an automorphism of order exactly 2.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n,k)$ with $A^2 = p\,\mathrm{Id}$.

(1) If $A = c\,\mathrm{Id}$ where $c^2 = p$ and $c \in k$, then Inn_A is not a k-involution.
(2) If $A^2 + c^2\,\mathrm{Id} = 0$, where $c \in k$, and $A \neq c\,\mathrm{Id}$, then A is conjugate to a matrix with m copies of L_{c^2} and $n-2m$ copies of c on the diagonal, as in

$$L_{m,c^2,c} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ c^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ c^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & c & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & c \end{bmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

(3) If $A^2 + p\,\mathrm{Id} = 0$, where $p \notin (k^*)^2$, then A is conjugate to a matrix with $\frac{n}{2}$ copies of $L_p = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ on the diagonal, as in

$$L_{\frac{n}{2},p} = \begin{bmatrix} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \end{bmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

Proof.

2. Since the minimal polynomial of A is $M_A(x) = x^2+c^2 = (x+c)^2$, and the invariant factors of A must divide $M_A(x)$, we know some of the structure of the rational canonical form of A. Without knowing A explicitly we can not determine the multiplicities of the invariant factors, so there may be any combination of invariant factors of the form $(x+c)^2$ or $(x+c)$. The only constraint is that the sum of the degrees of the invariant factors equals n, and that there is at least one factor of $(x+c)^2$. Therefore $A = L_{m,c^2,c}$, where m varies from 1 to $n/2$.
3. The minimal polynomial is $M_A(x) = x^2 + p$, where p is not a square. The characteristic polynomial is $C_A(x) = (x^2+p)^{n/2}$, and here, the invariant factors are all $M_A(x)$, since they are forced to divide $M_A(x)$. Since the sum of the degrees of all the invariant factors must equal the degree of $C_A(x) = n$, the dimension of A is even. Therefore, A is a direct sum of $\frac{n}{2}$ blocks of L_p, as in $L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$.

Notice that the last case only occurs when k is both infinite and *not* algebraically closed. □

Corollary 4.6. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$ is an inner involution. There is a matrix $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n,k)$ such that $\varphi = \mathrm{Inn}_A$ and A is conjugate to one of the following:

(1) $L_{m,c^2,c}$ for $c \in k^*$.
(2) $L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$ for some $p \in k^*/(k^*)^2$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.3, there is a matrix $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n,k)$ so that $\varphi = \mathrm{Inn}_A$. Since φ is an involution, we have $\varphi^2 = \mathrm{Inn}_{A^2} = \mathrm{Id}$. Moreover, by Lemma 4.1, $A^2 = p\,\mathrm{Id}$ for some $p \in k$. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that A is conjugate to either $L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$ or $L_{m,c^2,c}$. □

Notice the special case when $L_{m,c^2,c}$ and $L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$ appear to be the same; this occurs when there are $n/2$ blocks of L_{c^2} as in $L_{\frac{n}{2},c^2,c}$, for n even. The difference in $L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$ is that $p \notin (k^*)^2$, whereas in $L_{m,c^2,c}$, $c^2 \in (k^*)^2$, so $c \in k$. This distinction partially determines isomorphism classes of k-involutions.

Also note that $L_{m,c^2,c}$ and $L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$ have different minimal and characteristic polynomials and different invariant factors, so they are not conjugate. Moreover, whenever $m_1 \neq m_2$, by the same argument $L_{m_1,c^2,c}$ is not conjugate to $L_{m_2,c^2,c}$. This is because the number of blocks of L_{c^2} is different, which means they have different numbers of invariant factors.

Our next step is to determine when $L_{m,c^2,c}$ and $L_{m,d^2,d}$ correspond to isomorphic k-involutions and when $L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$ and $L_{\frac{n}{2},q}$ correspond to isomorphic k-involutions.

Lemma 4.7. $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{\frac{n}{2},p}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{\frac{n}{2},q}}$ if and only if $p/q \in (k^*)^2$.

Proof. By Eq. (8), p and $q \in k^*/(k^*)^2$, and by Lemma 4.4, $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{\frac{n}{2},p}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{\frac{n}{2},q}}$ if and only if $A = L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$ is conjugate to $cB = cL_{\frac{n}{2},q}$ for some $c \in k$. The minimal polynomials are $M_A(x) = x^2 + p$ and $M_{cB}(x) = x^2 + c^2q$, and the characteristic polynomials are $C_A(x) = (x^2+p)^{n/2}$ and $C_B(x) = (x^2+c^2q)^{n/2}$, respectively. This means that A and cB are conjugate if and only if $c^2q = p$, since the invariant factors are fixed by the minimal polynomials. But this implies that $c^2 = p/q$. Thus $p/q \in (k^*)^2$. □

Lemma 4.8. Let $b, c \in k^*$. Then $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{m,b^2,b}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{m,c^2,c}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{m,b^2,b}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{m,c^2,c}}$ if and only if $A = L_{m,b^2,b} \cong tB = tL_{m,c^2,c}$ for some $t \in k$. By Lemma 4.1, the minimal polynomials are $M_A(x) = (x^2 + b^2)(x + b)$ and $M_{tB}(x) = (x^2 + t^2c^2)(x + tc)$. If A and tB are conjugate, their invariant factors, characteristic polynomials, and minimal polynomials are equal. So they are conjugate if and only if $tc = b$ and $t^2c^2 = b^2$. By setting $t = b/c$, it follows that $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{m,b^2,b}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{m,c^2,c}}$. □

Theorem 4.9. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$ is an inner k-involution. Then, up to isomorphism, φ is of the form

(1) Inn_A where $A = L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$ for some $p \in k^*/(k^*)^2$.
(2) Inn_A where $A = L_{m,c^2,c}$ for some $c \in k^*$.

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.8, and Corollary 4.6. □

As when $n = 2$, the isomorphism classes of k-involutions of SL(n,k) depend on the number of square classes in k^*. All inner k-involutions of G_k correspond to matrices which are not semisimple. However, there exist unipotent elements which correspond to inner k-involutions, as when $n = 2$.

When k does not have characteristic 2, there are several differences as compared to the characteristic not 2 case. First, the matrices $I_{n-i,i}$

are diagonal matrices which correspond to k-involutions, so there are semisimple elements which correspond to k-involutions whenever k is perfect with characteristic not 2.

The k-involution $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{m,c^2,c}}$ is unique to fields of characteristic 2. By Theorem 4.9, whenever k is algebraically closed or finite (and all field elements have unique squares), the k-involutions may be represented by either $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{\frac{n}{2},1}}$ or $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{m,1,1}}$. In summary, we have the following corollary to Theorem 4.9, analogous to the corollary to the $n = 2$ case.

Corollary 4.10.

(1) If k is any finite field or algebraically closed field of characteristic 2 there is one isomorphism class of inner k-involutions of $\mathrm{SL}(n, k)$ corresponding to $L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$, and there are $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ isomorphism classes corresponding to $L_{m,c^2,c}$.
(2) Otherwise, there are infinitely many isomorphism classes of inner k-involutions of $\mathrm{SL}(n, k)$ corresponding to $L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$, and there are $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ isomorphism classes corresponding to $L_{m,c^2,c}$.

For $n > 2$, there are k-automorphisms of G_k which are not inner. The results in this section are similar to those obtained by Wu in his Ph.D. thesis [9]. We restate several results and refer to Wu for proofs, except when different proofs are needed due to the characteristic of k.

In this section, let $\theta(X) = (X^{-1})^T$. Note that θ is not an inner automorphism unless $n = 2$, in which case $\theta = \mathrm{Inn}_X$ where $X = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. It is known that for $n > 2$, $\mathrm{Inn}(G, G_k)$ has index 2 in $\mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$. Hence we can write any outer automorphism of G_k as $\theta \,\mathrm{Inn}_A$ for some $A \in \mathrm{GL}(n, k)$. For convenience, we choose θ as above; note that $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, G_k)$ has order 2, and thus θ is an involution. Our first step is to determine which of the automorphisms $\theta \,\mathrm{Inn}_A$ square to the identity.

Lemma 4.11 (Wu [9], Lemma 20)**.** $\theta \,\mathrm{Inn}_A$ is an involution if and only if A is symmetric.

Lemma 4.12 (Wu [9], Lemma 21)**.** $\theta \,\mathrm{Inn}_A$ is isomorphic to $\theta \,\mathrm{Inn}_B$ if and only if A is congruent to pB, for some $p \in k$.

Non-singular symmetric matrices are congruent to diagonal matrices if there is at least one non-zero diagonal entry. When all diagonal entries are zero, the matrix is called an alternate matrix. Note that when k is not of characteristic two, this concept is equivalent to the matrix being skew-symmetric. Alternate matrices correspond to symplectic transformations, and non-singular alternate matrices form one congruence class. In summary,

Lemma 4.13. Let A be a symmetric, non-singular matrix over a field of characteristic 2. Then

(1) If A is alternate, then A is congruent to $J = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, and A has even dimension.
(2) If A is not alternate, then A is congruent to a diagonal matrix.
(3) Let $b_i \in k^*$ and $a_i \in k$, and let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1^2 a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & b_n^2 a_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then A is congruent to B.

Proof.

(1) See Roman [7].
(2) Albert [1].
(3) Set $Q = \text{Diag}(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$, and notice that $Q^T A Q = B$.

□

In characteristic 2, isomorphism classes of outer involutions are somewhat simpler. To summarize our work thus far, outer involutions can always be represented as $\theta \operatorname{Inn}_A$ where A is either a diagonal matrix or the matrix J. We can always write A as $\text{Diag}(1, \ldots, 1, N_{p_1}, \ldots, N_{p_r})$ where N_{p_i} are (possibly distinct) non-squares in k. In this case, whenever k has only one square class $A = \text{Id}$. The following theorem summarizes the isomorphism classes.

Theorem 4.14. Let $\theta \operatorname{Inn}_A$ be an (outer) k-involution.

(1) If k is finite, or if k is algebraically closed, there is one isomorphism class of outer k-involutions corresponding to symmetric non-alternate matrices. There is also one isomorphism class of outer k-involutions corresponding to alternate matrices.
(2) If k is infinite and not algebraically closed, there are infinitely many classes of outer k-involutions corresponding to symmetric non-alternate matrices. There is one isomorphism class of outer k-involutions corresponding to alternate matrices.

We now turn to fixed point groups.

Lemma 4.15.

(1) The fixed point group of the involution $\mathrm{Inn}_{L_{m,c^2,c}}$ consists of matrices in G_k of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & b_{1,1} \\ c^2 b_{1,1} & a_{1,1} \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,2m-1} & b_{1,2m-1} \\ c^2 b_{1,2m-1} & a_{1,2m-1} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{matrix} h_{1,2m} & \dots & h_{1,n} \\ ch_{1,2m} & \dots & ch_{1,n} \end{matrix} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \begin{bmatrix} a_{2m,1} & b_{2m,1} \\ c^2 b_{2m-1,1} & a_{2m-1,1} \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} a_{2m-1,2m-1} & b_{2m-1,2m-1} \\ c^2 b_{2m-1,2m-1} & a_{2m-1,2m-1} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{matrix} h_{2m,2m} & \dots & h_{2m,n} \\ ch_{2m,2m} & \dots & ch_{2m,n} \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} g_{2m,1} & cg_{2m,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ g_{n,1} & cg_{n,1} \end{matrix} & \cdots & \begin{matrix} g_{2m,2m-1} & cg_{2m,2m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ g_{n,2m-1} & cg_{n,2m-1} \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} s_{2m,2m} & \dots & s_{2m,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ s_{n,2m} & \dots & s_{n,n} \end{matrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

which has m 2×2 blocks in the upper left-hand corner. The upper right-hand corner has the property that the even rows are c-multiples of the preceding odd rows. Analogously, the bottom left-hand corner has the property that the even columns are c-multiples of the preceding odd columns. Finally, in the bottom right-hand corner, there are no relations.

(2) The fixed point group of the involution $\mathrm{Inn}(L_{\frac{n}{2},p})$ consists of the block matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & b_{1,1} \\ pb_{1,1} & a_{1,1} \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,n-1} & b_{1,n-1} \\ pb_{1,n-1} & a_{1,n-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1,1} & b_{n-1,1} \\ pb_{n-1,1} & a_{n-1,1} \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-1,n-1} & b_{n-1,n-1} \\ pb_{n-1,n-1} & a_{n-1,n-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix consists of 2×2 blocks, and is basically the same as in case (1) above, in the upper left-hand corner.

Proof.

(1) The matrix $L_{m,c^2,c}$ acts on a matrix A when multiplying on the left and on the right. Let R_i denote the ith row of A, and C_i denote the ith column of A. Multiplication on the left by $L_{m,c^2,c}$ changes A as follows:

- Replace R_i by $c^2 R_{i-1}$ when i is even and $1 < i \leq 2m$.
- Replace R_j by R_{j+1} when j is odd and $1 \leq j < 2m$.
- Replace R_k by cR_k for $k > 2m$.

Multiplication on the right by $L^{-1}_{m,c^2,c}$ changes A as follows:

- Replace C_i by $\frac{1}{c^2} C_{i-1}$ when i is even and $1 < i \leq 2m$.
- Replace C_j by C_{j+1} when j is odd and $1 \leq j < 2m$.
- Replace C_k by $\frac{1}{c} C_k$ for $k > 2m$.

Now equate entries to get the desired relations.

(2) This is simpler than case 1, and the result corresponds to the upper left-hand corner of the matrix in case 1.

□

The matrix $L_{m,c^2,c}$ is conjugate to a constant times a unipotent matrix. Let

$$U_{m,c} = \begin{bmatrix} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $U_{m,c}L_{m,c^2,c}U_{m,c} = cB$ where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c^{-1} & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & c^{-1} & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

By a similar method, $L_{\frac{n}{2},p}$ is conjugate (over G_K) to a matrix of the form $\sqrt{p}B$ where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{p}^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \sqrt{p}^{-1} \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

In both cases, the matrices of k-involutions are conjugate to unipotent matrices. Over fields of characteristic not 2, inner involutions are always semisimple.

Lemma 4.16. Let $\varphi = \theta \operatorname{Inn}_A$ as in Lemma 4.13. Then

(1) If A is symmetric and not alternate and $|k^*/(k*)^2| = 1$, then the fixed-point group of φ is $\mathrm{O}(n,k)$.
(2) If A is symmetric and not alternate and $|k^*/(k*)^2| \geq 2$, then the fixed-point group of φ is the set

$$\{X \in G_k \mid A = X^T A X\}.$$

(3) If A is symmetric and alternate, then the fixed point group of φ is the set
$$\{X \in G_k \mid X = JXJ\}.$$
In other words, the fixed-point group consists of the block-symmetric matrices
$$X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_2 & X_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof.

(1) By Lemma 4.13, A is diagonal, and we may as well take $A = \mathrm{Id}$ as a representative. Thus $\theta \operatorname{Inn}_A(X) = \theta(X) = (X^{-1})^T = X$, so $X \in \mathrm{O}(n,k)$.
(2) Since $\theta \operatorname{Inn}_A(X) = \theta(AXA^{-1}) = X$, then $X = A^{-1}(X^{-1})^T A$, and $AX^{-1} = X^T A$.
(3) Write $J = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and $X = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. Then $\operatorname{Inn}_J(X) = JXJ^{-1} = JXJ = X$.

□

For the involutions $\operatorname{Inn}_{L_{m,c^2,c}}$ and $\operatorname{Inn}_{L_{\frac{n}{2},p}}$, Q_k has the following structure:
$$\begin{aligned} Q_k &= \left\{ X\big(\operatorname{Inn}_A(X)\big)^{-1} \mid X \in G_k \right\} \\ &= \left\{ X(A^T)^{-1}X^T A^T \mid X \in G_k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$
In the case that the involutions are outer, since $A = A^T$, we have
$$\begin{aligned} Q_k &= \left\{ X\big(\theta \operatorname{Inn}_A(X)\big)^{-1} \mid X \in G_k \right\} \\ &= \left\{ X\theta(AXA^{-1})^{-1} \mid X \in G_k \right\} \\ &= \left\{ X\big((A^T)^{-1}(X^T)^{-1}A^T\big)^{-1} \mid X \in G_k \right\} \\ &= \left\{ XA^T X^T (A^T)^{-1} \mid X \in G_k \right\} \\ &= \left\{ XAX^T A^{-1} \mid X \in G_k \right\} \end{aligned}$$
In the case that $A = \mathrm{Id}$, Q_k is the set of symmetric matrices in G_k.

References

[1] A. Adrian Albert. Symmetric and alternate matrices in an arbitrary field, i. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 43(3):386–436, 1938.
[2] Robert W. Benim, Aloysius G. Helminck, and Farrah Jackson Ward. Isomorphy classes of involutions of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n,k)$, $(n > 2)$. *Journal of Lie Theory*, 25:903–947, 2015.
[3] Robert W. Benim, Aloysius G. Helminck, Ling Wu, and Christopher E. Dometrius. Involutions of $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1,k)$, $(n > 2)$. *To appear*, 2013.

[4] Armand Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 126 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.

[5] Aloysius G. Helminck. Symmetric k-varieties. In William J. Haboush and Brian J. Parshall, editors, *Algebraic Groups and Their Generalizations: Classical Methods*, volume 56 of *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, pages 233–279. American Mathematical Society, 1994.

[6] Aloysius G. Helminck and Ling Wu. Classification of involutions of SL$(2, k)$. *Communications in Algebra*, 30(1):193–203, 2002.

[7] Steven Roman. *Advanced linear algebra*, volume 135 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2008.

[8] J.-P. Serre. *A course in arithmetic*, volume 7 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.

[9] Ling Wu. *Classification of involutions of* SL(n, k) *and* SO$(2n + 1, k)$. North Carolina State University, 2002. Thesis (Ph.D.).